arXiv:1602.00204v1 [quant-ph] 31 Jan 2016

A Short Note on Minimal Length

Md. Moniruzzaman* and S. B. Faruque†

February 2, 2016

Department of Physics, Shahjalal University of Science and Technology, Sylhet 3114, Bangladesh

Abstract

After revival of the concept of minimal length, many investigations have been devoted, in literature, to estimate upperbound on minimal length for systems like hydrogen atom, deuteron etc. We report here a possible origin of minimal length for atomic and nuclear systems which is connected with the fundamental interaction strength and the Compton wavelength. The formula we appear at is numerically close to the upperbounds found in literature.

1 Introduction

The pursuit to know completely the nature of space-time in modern times dates back to the time of Einstein's and Heisenberg's epic works. Heisenberg first noticed that a non-zero minimal length is inevitable, but he could not incorporate it in a consistent theory [1]. But the history of a minimal length is becoming rich day by day. In the last decade of the 20th century, it became clear how a minimal length appears in quantum gravity [2], string theory [3], etc., and through the works of Kempf et al [4-6], a deformed quantum mechanics appeared which incorporates a non-zero minimal length within a generalized uncertainty principle (GUP). The generalized uncertainty principle (GUP) is usually expressed as

$$\triangle x \triangle p \geqslant \frac{\hbar}{2}\left[1+\beta\left(\triangle p\right)^2\right] \tag{1}$$

where β is a small parameter. Equation (1) leads to the minimal length $\triangle x_{min} = \hbar\sqrt{\beta}$. Originally, this minimal length was envisaged as a gravitational induced uncertainty and the scale of this length was usually understood to be of the order of Planck length$\left(10^{-35}m\right)$. But Eq.(1) contains the UV/IR mixing, whereby it is thought that the minimal length can be much larger than the Planck length.

*Permanent Address: Department of Physics, Mawlana Bhashani Science and Technology University, Santosh, Tangail-1902, Bangladesh

†Corresponding Author: Email: awsbf62@yahoo.com

Brau [7] calculated the effect of a minimal length on the spectrum of the hydrogen atom and estimated an upperbound on minimal length inherent in H-atom which is of the order of $10^{-17}m$. Thereafter, many authors devoted time in estimating upperbound on minimal length inherent in many quantum systems and a large varity of results appeared. However, for hydrogen-like systems, the estimated value of minimal length falls around the value found by Brau. We have previously estimated [8] the upperbound on minimal length using deuteron quadrupole moment, which is about $10^{-16}m$.

Due to this development of the matter, some authors indicated that minimal length may depend on the systems under consideration . Moreover, Kempf [9] revealed that non-pointness of a particle considerably affects energy spectrum of systems like harmonic oscillator, and Sastry [10] presented a theory of extended quantum particles where non-pointness of particles is shown to lead to non-zero minimal uncertainty in position. Influenced by these works, we are going to show here that a minimal length could be associated with every quantum system because of the non-pointness of the particles manifested by their being part of a system and by how their positions are measured. These two ingredients are inseparably associated with every quantum system and with the conceptual framework of Heisenberg uncertainty principle. And one obviously finds a minimal length to be operational in every quantum system when non-pointness of particles whose positions are measured is considered within the framework of quantum measurement. Through due consideration of non-pointness of particles we arrive at a formula for minimal length. The work we present here is original and of fundamental importance for quantum physics. The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we derive the formula for minimal length and present some numerical values. In Section 3, we conclude.

2 Minimal Uncertainty in Position

According to Heisenberg's original thought experiment [11], a particle's position is measured through the interaction of a photon with the particle. The photon gets scattered and the scattered photon is viewed through a microscope which immediately leads to the ordinary uncertainty relation

$$\triangle x \triangle p \geqslant \frac{\hbar}{2} \tag{2}$$

Here, however, the particle, say electron, is considered as a point particle. But the interaction which is used to detect the electron always finds the particle as non- pointlike. This is manifest through the finite cross-section of the interaction which is always like Compton scattering. Every impingement reveals a finite size of the electron given by, the low-energy limit of Compton scattering cross-section[12]

$$\sigma = \frac{8\pi}{3}\left(\frac{e^2}{\hbar c}\frac{\hbar}{m_e c}\right)^2 \tag{3}$$

where e is the charge of the electron and m_e is the mass of electron. This is actually the Thomson scattering cross-section, the low energy limit of the Compton cross-section. So, a measure of non-pointness of the electron associated with Eq.(3) is given by a minimal length,

$$\triangle x_{min} \approx \frac{e^2}{\hbar c}\frac{\hbar}{m_e c} \tag{4}$$

$$= \alpha \lambda\!\!\!^{-}_{\rm c}$$

where α is the fine structure constant and $\lambda\!\!\!^{-}_{\rm c}$ is the reduced Compton wavelength of the electron.

Next, consider the H-atom. When energy of H-atom is considered, the particle whose energy is measured is electron and its size is linked with the size of the orbit. In the ground state , the size of the electron, $\frac{\hbar}{m_e c} = \lambda\!\!\!^{-}_{\rm c}$ and the radius of the orbit, Bohr radius a_0 are linked by

$$\frac{\lambda\!\!\!^{-}_{\rm c}}{a_0} = \alpha \backsimeq \frac{1}{137} \tag{5}$$

If the electron is in a higher excited state the ratio $\frac{\lambda\!\!\!^{-}_{\rm c}}{r_n}$ gradually approaches zero. Here lies another measure of non-pointness of the electron. This measure here is largest in the ground state. So, minimal size of the electron or it's non-pointness is not fixed if we consider the system where it is. The measure of this non-pointness varies between the minimum zero, which says it is really pointlike, and the maximum, α, which says it is somewhat non-pointlike. But as long as we consider the electron in the H-atom this measure is never zero. For other particles in other systems, the measure of this non-pointness may turn out bigger. For example, in deuteron, the measure of non-pointness is more and can be taken to be $\alpha_s \approx 0.2$, where α_s is the coupling constant of strong interaction. We may find α_s by dividing the Compton wavelength of the reduced mass of neutron-proton system by the size of deuteron. The result is close to the value($\alpha_s \approx 0.1$) in quantum field theory [12].

Now, in literature, the systems for which the minimal length are being reported are bound systems like harmonic oscillator, hydrogen atom, deuteron, etc. Orginally, the minimal length appeared for systems that are in Planck scale. For all such systems, the minimal length can be linked with the two pieces of non-pointness discussed above. We can fairly assume the minimal length to be proportional to both of those pieces. That is, $\triangle x_{min} \propto \alpha$ and $\triangle x_{min} \propto (\alpha \lambda\!\!\!^{-}_{\rm c})$, where α now represent the coupling strength of the interaction by which the particle under consideration is bound within the system, and $\lambda\!\!\!^{-}_{\rm c}$ is the reduced Compton wavelength of the particle. We thus write

$$\triangle x_{min} \approx \alpha^2 \lambda\!\!\!^{-}_{\rm c} \tag{6}$$

For hydrogen, $\alpha \backsimeq \frac{1}{137}$ and $\lambda\!\!\!^{-}_{\rm c} = \frac{\hbar}{m_e c} = 3.86 \times 10^{-13} m$ and $\triangle x_{min}$ turns to be about $2 \times 10^{-17} m$, which is close to Brau's value [7]. For deuteron, $\alpha_s \approx 0.2$

and $\lambda\!\!\!^{-}_c \backsimeq \frac{2\hbar}{m_p c} = 4.19 \times 10^{-16} m$, where $\frac{m_p}{2} = 8.35 \times 10^{-28} kg$ is the reduced mass of deuteron. Thus, for deuteron, $\triangle x_{min}$ turns out to be about $1.67 \times 10^{-17} m$, which is close to our value [8]. For Planck scale system, $\lambda\!\!\!^{-}_c \approx 10^{-35} m$ and $\alpha_p \approx 1$ and the minimal length turns out, as expected, to be the Planck length.

Thus, we arrive at a value for minimal length for bound systems as given by Eq.(6) and get some confirmation of the formula (6) from literature as quoted above. Strictly speaking, formula (6) represent the upperbound on minimal length for bound systems and can be linked with the uncertainties of dynamical properties of such systems. However, a minimal length for every quantum system is inevitable which is clear from the discussion above and this minimal length can be fairy considered to be not more than that given by Eq.(6). For systems bound by fundamental interaction, Eq.(6) is easy to evaluate, but for other systems, further investigation is necessary.

3 Conclusion

Minimal length, first appeared in string theory, quantum gravity, and related fields, is the minimal uncertainty in position measurement of a quantum particle. In this paper, we have argued that a minimal length is inevitable in Heisenberg's uncertainty principle. The long silence about minimal length after Heisenberg's original formulation of the uncertainty principle, is due to its very small size. The most fundamental minimal length is of the Planck scale, but in bound systems like hydrogen atom, deuteron etc., the minimal length can appear to be much bigger. A minimal length can be considered to be operational in every quantum system, which is shown in this work to be linked with non-pointness of particles like electron, nucleon, etc.. The non-pointness discussed in this paper has two pieces. One is linked with the particles being part of a bound system and the other is linked with the method of measurement of the particle's position. The measure of non-pointness, when we consider a particle within a quantum system, is given approximately by the coupling strength of the interaction by which the particle is bound within the system. The other measure of non-pointness, when we consider the way of position measurement, is given approximately by the square root of interaction cross section, the interaction being like Compton scattering. We thus arrived at the formula (6) for minimal length whose numerical value is close to the upperbounds on minimal length found in literature. Therefore, we find a significant piece of physics in this work. Further investigation on this particular orgin of minimal length in quantum systems may shed more light on the topic.

References

[1] H. Kragh, Am. J. Phys. **63** (1995) 595.

[2] L. J. Garay, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **10** (1995) 145.

[3] K. Konoshi, G. Paffuti, and P. Provero, Phys. Lett. B **234** (1990) 276.

[4] A. Kempf, G. Mangano, and R. B. Mann, Phys. Rev. D **52** (1995) 1108.

[5] A. Kempf, and G. Mangano, Phys. Rev. D **55** (1997) 7909.

[6] A. Kempf, arxiv: hep-th/9810215.

[7] F. Brau, J. Phys. A **32** (1999) 7691.

[8] S. B. Faruque, A. Rahman, and Md. Moniruzzam, Res. Phys. **4** (2014) 52.

[9] A. Kempf, J. Phys. A **30** (1997) 2093.

[10] R. R. Sastry, J. Phys. A **33** (2000) 8305.

[11] W. Heisenberg in: The Physical Principles of the Quantum Theory, Dover Publications, 1930, USA.

[12] F. Mandl and G. Show, in: Quantum Field Theory (2nd ed.), John Wiley & Sons, 2010, UK.